(6)

C-1-887

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 848 / 2002

Petitioners :

1. Shri Arun Luthra S/o Late Shri Shri Ram Luthra, aged about 48 years, R/o Pandri, Dist : Raipur – (C.G.)
2. Ajay Luthra S/o Late Shri Shri Ram Luthra, aged about 40 years, R/o Pandri, Dist : Raipur – (C.G.)
3. Shri Vijay Luthra S/o Late Shri Shri Ram Luthra, aged about 38 years, R/o Pandri, Dist : Raipur – (C.G.)

P. R. No. 838/02
Presented by Shri ... Advocate
dated 26.04.2002

Versus

Respondents :

1. Raipur Development Authority through its Chief Executive Officer, G.E.Road, Raipur – (C.G.)
2. Municipal Corporation Raipur through its Commissioner, Malviya Road, Raipur – (C.G.)
3. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary Urban Administration and Development D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur – (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 868 सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

06.5.2002

Shri P.Diwakar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, counsel for respondents No.1 and 2.

Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, counsel for respondent no.3.

Heard.

Shri P.Diwakar submits that in similar matters i.e. W.P.No.726/2002 and W.P.783 of 2002 order has been passed. He prays that similar relief may be granted in this petition also.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, it is directed that the petitioner shall make a detailed representation to the State Government through Secretary within 15 days from today alongwith all the documents and copy of this petition clearly specifying the open area still lying, which shall be decided by the State or such other authority as directed by the State Government as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. The petitioner shall be heard and the State may also hear such other person including the department.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that in the meantime third party interest may not be created on the open area.

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(42)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 848 सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	It is directed that no third party interest shall be created on the open area in question till the representation is decided by the State/Competent authority. If any adverse order is passed, the petitioner may challenge the same afresh. With the aforesaid directions, this petition is disposed of. Certified Photocopy be supplied. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	